

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gow

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,008	10/06/2000	Timothy A.M. Chuter	ENDOV-54176	9810
24201 7.	590 07/31/2002			
FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP			EXAMINER	
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6060 CENTER DRIVE			CHATTOPADHYAY, URMI	
TENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGLEL	3, CA 700+3		3738	

DATE MAILED: 07/31/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	A(!	-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
Office Action Summary	09/684,008 CHUTER, TIMOTHY A.I		
omee Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Urmi Chattopadhyay ears on the cover sheet with the	3738 correspondence address	
Period for Reply		от обранизно и и и и обо	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a really a really received by the office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
√ 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u>	ebruary 2001 .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i> Disposition of Claims	<u>-x рапе Quayle, 1935 С.</u> D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ ·Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicat	ion No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prioring 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) .
a) The translation of the foreign language pro- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/684,008 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a method for percutaneous insertion of a graft, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

II. Claims 8-10, drawn to a system for delivering a graft, classified in class 623, subclass 1.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group II and Group I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. The method of Group I does not require that the graft not include an expandable frame attached thereto.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species and subspecies of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 09/684,008 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

1) Repair Assembly

a. Figure 2

b. Figure 11

2) Attachment System

a. Figure 7a

b. Figure 7b

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed subspecies for each species (ex. Group I, 1b, 2a) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 3738

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. John V. Hanley on 7/17/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Urmi Chattopadhyay whose telephone number is (703) 308-8510 and whose work schedule is Monday-Friday, 9:00am – 6:30pm with every other Friday off. The examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, may be reached at (703) 308-2111. The group receptionist may be reached at (703) 308-0858.

Should the applicant wish to send a fax for official entry into the file wrapper the Group fax number is (703) 305-3590. Should applicant wish to send a fax for discussion purposes only, the art unit fax number is (703) 308-2708.

Urmi Chattopadhyay

Art Unit 3738

David J. Isabella Primary Examiner